BEFORE THE BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation and First Supplemental Accusation and First Supplemental Petition to Revoke Probation Against: No. D1-90-12

OAH No. N-9408134

RONALD LEE CHAPPELL 6984 Henning Drive

Citrus Heights, CA 95621

CPA Certificate No. 35198

Respondent.

:.

PROPOSED DECISION

On May 15, 1995, in Sacramento, California, M. Amanda Behe, Administrative Law Judge, Office of Administrative Hearings, State of California, heard these matters.

Steven M. Kahn, Deputy Attorney General, represented the complainant.

Todd Gaskill, Attorney at Law, represented respondent who was present.

Jurisdictional documents were received, the parties stipulated to the allegations and discipline as set forth below, the record was closed and the matter was submitted.

FINDINGS OF FACT

I

Carol Sigmann is the Executive Officer of the Board of Accountancy of the State of California (hereinafter the "Board") and made and filed the Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation

and First Supplemental Accusation and First Supplemental Petition to Revoke Probation in her official capacity.

II

On or about June 11, 1982, respondent Ronald Lee Chappell (hereinafter "respondent") was issued certificate number 35198 to practice accountancy under the laws of the State of California.

Effective on or about July 2, 1992, in a proceeding entitled "In the Matter of the Accusation Against Ronald Lee Chappell," case number AC-90-12, then pending before the Board of Accountancy, respondent's certificate to practice accountancy was revoked, the revocation was stayed and he was placed on probation for 3 years upon various terms and conditions, including a 30-day suspension of his certificate, and the requirement that he obey all laws and rules relating to the practice of public accountancy in California.

III

Section 5100 of the Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "the Code") provides that a certificate may be disciplined for unprofessional conduct which includes, but is not limited to, the grounds set forth in said section.

Section 5100, subdivision (c), of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that gross negligence in the practice of public accountancy constitutes unprofessional conduct.

Section 5100, subdivision (f), of the Code provides that willful violation of Chapter 1 of Division 3 of the Code (commencing at section 5000) or any rule or regulation promulgated by the Board under the authority granted by that chapter constitutes unprofessional conduct.

Section 5050 of the Code prohibits a person from engaging in the practice of public accountancy in the State of California unless such person is a holder of a valid permit to practice accountancy.

Section 58.3, subdivision (a), of the California Code of Regulations, a rule and regulation promulgated by the Board, provides, in pertinent part, that an accountant is required to issue a report conforming to professional standards whenever he completes a compilation or review of the financial statements of a non-public entity.

At all times herein mentioned, Statements on Standards for Accounting and Review Services (hereinafter referred to as "AR"), Statements on Auditing Standards (hereinafter referred to as "AU"), and Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (hereinafter

referred to as "FAS"), set forth professional standards applicable to accountants.

AR section 100.15 provides that the date of completion of the compilation should be used as the date of the accountant's report.

AR section 100.20 provides that if financial statements compiled in conformity with a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles do not include disclosure of the basis of accounting used, the basis should be disclosed in the accountant's report.

AU section 623.07 provides that terms such as balance sheet and statement of income are generally understood to be presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and are inappropriate for the income tax basis of accounting.

FAS number 95 became effective for fiscal years ending after July 15, 1988, and replaced Statement of Changes in Financial Position with the Statement of Cash Flows.

AR section 200.02 provides that when comparative financial statements are presented, the accountant should issue a report covering each period presented in accordance with that standard.

FAS 96 provides that entities using the income tax basis of accounting should measure and accrue income taxes based on the taxable earnings in accordance with that standard.

AR section 100.43 provides that when the accountant has compiled both the basic financial statements and other data presented only for supplementary analysis purpose, the compilation report should also include the other data as discussed in that standard.

AR section 100.21 provides that when financial statements that the accountant has compiled omit substantially all disclosures, the standard report shall include appropriate language as set forth in that section.

AR section 100.22 provides that an accountant is not precluded from issuing a report with respect to his compilation of financial statements for an entity with respect to which he is not independent if he specifically discloses the lack of independence.

IV

The parties stipulated that respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to section 5100 of the Code in that he

has violated section 5100, subdivision (f), together with section 5050 of the Code in that in July 1992, while his license was suspended pursuant to the decision in case number AC-90-12, respondent prepared and sent out tax returns designating himself as a CPA.

V

CLIENT L.A.

The parties stipulated that respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to section 5100 of the Code in that he has violated section 5100 subdivision (f), and Rule 58.3 as more particularly alleged hereinafter:

- A. The parties stipulated that in or about 1992, respondent prepared a compilation for client L.A. for the period ending November 30, 1992, and thereafter issued a report.
- B. The parties stipulated that respondent violated Rule 58.3 in that his report did not conform to professional standards in that:
 - i. The report date was missing in violation of AR section 100.15.
 - ii. He failed to disclose the basis for accounting in violation of AR section 100.20.
 - iii. He failed to modify titles in the financial statement required by AU section 623.07.
 - iv. He made inappropriate references to the Statement of Changes in Financial Position contrary to FAS number 95, paragraph 1.
 - v. He failed to address all periods presented in the financial statement in accordance with AR section 200.02.

VI

CLIENT B.M.

The parties stipulated that respondent is further subject to disciplinary action pursuant to section 5100 of the Code in that he has violated section 5100 subdivision (f), and Rule 58.3 as more particularly alleged hereinafter:

A. The parties stipulated that in or about 1992, respondent prepared a compilation for client B.M.

for the period ending August 31, 1992, and thereafter issued a report.

- B. The parties stipulated that respondent violated Rule 58.3 in that his report did not conform to professional standards in that:
- i. The report date was missing in violation of AR section 100.15.
- ii. He failed to disclose the basis for accounting in violation of AR section 100.20.
- iii. He failed to modify titles in the financial statement required by AU section 623.07.
- iv. He made inappropriate references to the Statement Changes in Financial Position contrary to FAS number 95, paragraph 1.
- v. He failed to address all periods presented in the financial statement in accordance with AR section 200.02.
- vi. He failed to accrue income taxes as required by FAS 96.
- vii. He failed to report on supplementary information as required by AR section 100.43.

VII

The parties stipulated that respondent is further subject to disciplinary action pursuant to section 5100 of the Code in that he is guilty of gross negligence in the practice of public accountancy in violation of section 5100, subdivision (c), of the Code in that respondent's acts regarding clients B.M. and L.A. as set forth above constitute gross negligence.

VIII

CLIENT R.H.E.

The parties stipulated that respondent is further subject to disciplinary action pursuant to section 5100 of the Code in that he has violated section 5100, subdivision (f), of the Code and Rule 58.3 as more particularly alleged hereinafter:

A. The parties stipulated that on or about June 18, 1994, respondent prepared a compilation for R.H.E. for the period ending December 31, 1993, and thereafter issued a report.

- B. The parties stipulated that respondent violated Rule 58.3 in that his compilation report did not conform to professional standards in that:
- i. The compilation report omitted substantially all disclosures but failed to include the language required by AR section 100.21.
- ii. The compilation report indicated that respondent was a certified public accountant and did not disclose that respondent was then an employee of R.H.E. and was not independent, in violation of AR section 100.22.

IX

The parties stipulated that respondent's license is further subject to disciplinary action in that he has violated the terms of his probation as more particularly alleged hereinafter:

- i. Effective on or about July 2, 1992, in a decision entitled "In the Matter of the Accusation Against Ronald Lee Chappell," case number AC-90-12 before the Board of Accountancy, respondent's certificate was revoked, the revocation was stayed, and he was placed on probation and required to comply with various terms and conditions.
- ii. The terms and conditions of probation included the following:
 - "a. Obey all laws respondent shall obey all federal, California, other U.S. states and local laws including those rules relating to the practice of public accountancy in California.

 - "j. Period of actual suspension respondent's certificate shall be suspended for a period of thirty (30) days during which time he shall engage in no activities for which certification as a certified public accountant or public accountant is required."

The parties stipulated that respondent violated the terms and conditions of probation in that he held himself out as a CPA during the period of suspension and he violated statutes and rules pertaining to the practice of accountancy.

The parties stipulated that section 5107 of the Code provides for recovery by the Board of specified costs of investigation and prosecution in disciplinary actions against certified public accountants where the accountant is found guilty of section 5100, subdivision (c), of the Code.

The parties stipulated that in consideration for the surrender of respondent's license and the stipulations set forth herein the Board will waive all costs of investigation and prosecution in this matter, and its right to collect those costs.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

The parties stipulated that cause for revocation of respondent's license was established as specifically set forth in the Findings of Fact.

ORDER

The certificate issued to respondent Ronald Lee Chappell to practice as a certified public accountant is revoked.

Date:

M. AMANDA BEHE

Administrative Law Judge

Office of Administrative Hearings

BEFORE THE BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation and First Supplemental Accusation and First Supplemental Petition to Revoke Probation Against:

> RONALD LEE CHAPPELL 6984 Henning Drive Citrus Heights, CA 95621

CPA Certificate No. 35198

Respondent.

No. D1-90-12

OAH No. N-9408134

DECISION

The attached Proposed Decision of the Administrative Law

Judge is hereby adopted by the <u>Board of Accountancy</u> as <u>its</u> Decision in the above-entitled matter.

This Decision shall become effective on <u>September 6, 1995</u>

IT IS SO ORDERED

August 7, 1995)

OAH 15 (Rev. 6/84)

1	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General
2	of the State of California JOEL S. PRIMES
	Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3	STEVEN M. KAHN Deputy Attorney General
4	1515 K Street, Suite 511
.	P. O. Box 944255
5	Sacramento, California 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-5338
6	
,	Attorneys for Complainant
7	
8	
9	
	BEFORE THE BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation) No. D1-90-12
13	and Petition to Revoke
14	Probation Against:)
	RONALD LEE CHAPPELL) FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL 6984 Henring Drive) ACCUSATION AND FIRST
15	Citrus Heights, CA 95621) SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION
16) TO REVOKE PROBATION
17	CPA Certificate No. 35198)
1/	Respondent.)
18)
19	Carol Sigmann, the complainant herein, alleges as
20	follows:
21	24. She is the Executive Officer of the Board of
22	Accountancy of the State of California (hereinafter the "Board")
23	and makes and files this first supplemental accusation and first
24	supplemental petition to revoke probation in her official
25	capacity as such and not otherwise.
26	25. AR section 100.21 provides that when financial
27	statements that the accountant has compiled omit substantially

2.3

- 26. AR section 100.22 provides that an accountant is not precluded from issuing a report with respect to his compilation of financial statements for an entity with respect to which he is not independent if he specifically discloses the lack of independence.
- 27. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action pursuant to section 5100 of the Code in that he has violated section 5100, subdivision (f), of the Code and Rule 58.3 as more particularly alleged hereinafter:
- A. On or about June 18, 1994, respondent prepared a compilation for R.H.E. for the period ending December 31, 1993, and thereafter issued a report.
- B. Respondent violated Rule 58.3 in that his compilation report did not conform to professional standards in that:
- i. The compilation report omitted substantially all disclosures but failed to include the language required by AR section 100.21.
- ii. The compilation report indicated that respondent was a certified public accountant and did not disclose that respondent was then an employee of R.H.E. and was not independent, in violation of AR section 100.22.

SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION

28. Respondent's license is further subject to disciplinary action in that he has violated the terms and

conditions of his probation in that he violated statutes and rules pertaining to the practice of accountancy as set forth in paragraphs 27A through 27Bii hereinabove and which are incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth at this point, in violation of term and condition A of his probation.

29 Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the provisions of section 5107, the Board also seeks recovery for costs of investigation and prosecution of the supplemental first accusation and first supplemental petition to revoke probation.

WHEREFORE, complainant prays that the Board of Accountancy hold a hearing on the matters alleged herein and following said hearing issue a decision:

- Suspending or revoking the certificate issued to respondent Ronald Lee Chappell to practice as a certified public accountant;
- Revoking probation and imposing the revocation previously stayed;
- 3. Requiring respondent to pay costs pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 5107; and
- 4. Taking such other and further action as it deems proper.

DATED: March 30, 1995

CAROL SIGMANN
Executive Officer

Board of Accountancy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

03541110-SA93AD1818

Complainant

1	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California				
2	JOEL S. PRIMES				
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General STEVEN M. KAHN				
4	Deputy Attorney General 1515 K Street, Suite 511				
5	P. O. Box 944255 Sacramento, California 94244-2550				
6	Telephone: (916) 324-5338				
7	Attorneys for Complainant				
8					
9					
10	BEFORE THE BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY				
11	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
12					
13	In the Matter of the Accusation) No. D1-90-12 and Petition to Revoke				
14	Probation Against:				
15	RONALD LEE CHAPPELL) <u>ACCUSATION AND</u> 6984 Henning Drive) <u>PETITION TO REVOKE</u>				
16	Citrus Heights, CA 95621) PROBATION				
17	CPA Certificate No. 35198				
18	Respondent.				
••	Garal Giamana, the gamplainant homein, alleges as				
19	Carol Sigmann, the complainant herein, alleges as				
20	follows:				
21	1. She is the Executive Officer of the Board of				
22	Accountancy of the State of California (hereinafter the "Board")				
23	and makes and files this accusation and petition to revoke				
24	probation in her official capacity as such and not otherwise.				
25	2. On or about June 11, 1982, respondent Ronald Lee				
26	Chappell (hereinafter "respondent") was issued certificate number				
27	///				
1					

1.

35198 to practice accountancy under the laws of the State of California.

entitled "In the Matter of the Accusation Against Ronald Lee Chappell", case number AC-90-12, then pending before the Board of Accountancy, respondent's certificate to practice accountancy was revoked, the revocation was stayed and he was placed on probation for three years upon various terms and conditions including a 30 day suspension of his certificate, and the requirement that he obey all laws and rules relating to the practice of public accountancy in California.

- 3. Section 5100 of the Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "the Code") provides that a certificate may be disciplined for unprofessional conduct which includes, but is not limited to, the grounds set forth in said section.
- 4. Section 5100, subdivision (c), of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that gross negligence in the practice of public accountancy constitutes unprofessional conduct.
- 5. Section 5100, subdivision (f), of the Code provides that willful violation of Chapter 1 of Division 3 of the Code (commencing at section 5000) or any rule or regulation promulgated by the Board under the authority granted by that chapter constitutes unprofessional conduct.
- 6. Section 5050 of the Code prohibits a person from engaging in the practice of public accountancy in the State of

- 7. Section 58.3, subdivision (a), of the California Code of Regulations, a rule and regulation promulgated by the Board, provides, in pertinent part, that an accountant is required to issue a report conforming to professional standards whenever he completes a compilation or review of the financial statements of a non-public entity.
- 8. At all times herein mentioned, Statements on Standards for Accounting and Review Services (hereinafter referred to as "AR"), Statements on Auditing Standards (hereinafter referred to as "AU"), and Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (hereinafter referred to as "FASB"), set forth professional standards applicable to accountants.
- 9. AR section 100.15 provides that the date of completion of the compilation should be used as the date of the accountant's report.
- 10. AR section 100.20 provides that if financial statements compiled in conformity with a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles do not include disclosure of the basis of accounting used, the basis should be disclosed in the accountant's report.
- 11. AU section 623.07 provides that terms such as balance sheet and statement of income are generally understood to be presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and are inappropriate for the income tax basis of accounting.

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- FAS number 95 became effective for fiscal years 12. ending after July 15, 1988, and replaced Statement of Changes in Financial Position with the Statement of Cash Flows.
- 13. AR section 200.02 provides that when comparative financial statements are presented, the accountant should issue a report covering each period presented in accordance with that standard.
- FAS 96 provides that entities using the income tax basis of accounting should measure and accrue income taxes based on the taxable earnings in accordance with that standard.
- AR section 100.43 provides that when the 15. accountant has compiled both the basic financial statements and other data presented only for supplementary analysis purpose, the compilation report should also include the other data as discussed in that standard.
- Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to section 5100 of the Code in that he has violated section 5100, subdivision (f), together with section 5050 of the Code as more particularly alleged hereinafter:
- In July 1992, while his license was suspended pursuant to the decision in case number AC-90-12, respondent prepared and sent out tax returns designating himself as a CPA.

CLIENT L.A.

17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to section 5100 of the Code in that he has violated section 5100 subdivision (f), and Rule 58.3 as more particularly alleged hereinafter:

In or about 1992, respondent prepared a compilation 1 2 for client L.A. for the period ending November 30, 1992, and 3 thereafter issued a report. Respondent violated Rule 58.3 in that his report 5 did not conform to professional standards in that: The report date was missing in violation of 6 i. 7 AR section 100.15. 8 ii. He failed to disclose the basis for accounting in violation of AR section 100.20. 9 10 He failed to modify titles in the financial iii. statement required by AU section 623.07. 11 12 He made inappropriate references to the Statement 13 of Changes in Financial Position contrary to FAS number 95, 14 paragraph 1. 15 He failed to address all periods presented in the v. 16 financial statement in accordance with AR section 200.02. 17 CLIENT B.M. 18 18. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary 19 action pursuant to section 5100 of the Code in that he has 20 violated section 5100 subdivision (f), and Rule 58.3 as more 21 particularly alleged hereinafter: 22 In or about 1992, respondent prepared a compilation for client B.M. for the period ending August 31, 1992, and 23 24 thereafter issued a report. 25 Respondent violated Rule 58.3 in that his report 26 did not conform to professional standards in that: 27 111

The report date was missing in violation of 1 2 AR section 100.15. ii. He failed to disclose the basis for accounting in 3 violation of AR section 100.20. 4 He failed to modify titles in the financial iii. 5 statement required by AU section 623.07. 6 He made inappropriate references to the Statement 7 iv. Changes in Financial Position contrary to FAS number 95, 8 9 paragraph 1. He failed to address all periods presented in the 10 v. financial statement in accordance with AR section 200.02. 11 12 He failed to accrue income taxes as required by vi. 13 FAS 96. He failed to report on supplementary information 14 vii. as required by AR section 100.43. 15 19. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary 16 action pursuant to section 5100 of the Code in that he is guilty 17 of gross negligence in the practice of public accountancy in 18 violation of section 5100, subdivision (c), of the Code as more 19 20 particularly alleged hereinafter: Paragraphs 17A through 17Bv hereinabove are 21 22 incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth at 23 this point. Paragraphs 18A through 18Bvii are incorporated 24 herein by reference as though fully set forth at this point. 25 Respondent's acts regarding clients B.M. and L.A.

as set forth above constitute gross negligence.

26

PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION

20	. Resp	ondent's	li	cense	e is	furth	ner s	subject	: to)
disciplinary	action	in that	he	has	viol	Lated	the	terms	of	his
orobation as	more p	articula	cly	alle	eged	herei	inaft	ter:		

- Effective on or about July 2, 1992, in a decision entitled "In the Matter of the Accusation Against Ronald Lee Chappell", case number AC-90-12 before the Board of Accountancy, respondent's certificate was revoked, the revocation was stayed, and he was placed on probation and required to comply with various terms and conditions.
- The terms and conditions of probation included the following:
 - Obey all laws respondent shall obey all federal, California, other U.S. states and local laws including those rules relating to the practice of public accountancy in California.
 - "j. Period of actual suspension respondent's certificate shall be suspended for a period of thirty (30) days during which time he shall engage in no activities for which certification as a certified
- Respondent violated the terms and conditions of probation in that:

public accountant or public accountant is required."

He held himself out as a CPA during the period of suspension as alleged in paragraph 16A hereinabove which is 111

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth at this point.

- B. He violated statutes and rules pertaining to the practice of accountancy as set forth in paragraphs 16A, 17A through 17Bv, 18A through 18Bvii and 19C as alleged hereinabove and which are incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth at this point.
- 22. Section 5107 of the Code provides for recovery by the Board of specified costs of investigation and prosecution in disciplinary actions against certified public accountants where the accountant is found guilty of section 5100, subdivision (c), of the Code.
- 23. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that pursuant to the provisions of section 5107 of the Code, the Board seeks recovery for costs of investigation and prosecution up to the administrative hearing in this action.

WHEREFORE, complainant prays that the Board of Accountancy hold a hearing on the matters alleged herein and following said hearing issue a decision:

- Suspending or revoking the certificate issued to respondent Ronald Chappell to practice as a certified public accountant;
- Revoking probation and imposing the revocation previously stayed;
- 3. Requiring respondent to pay costs pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 5107; and

1	4. Taking such other and further action as it deems
2	proper.
3	DATED: July 6, 1994
4	CAROL SIGMANN
5	Executive Office
6	Board of Accountancy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
7	Complainant
8	Compactations
9	
10	
11	·
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	·
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	·
26	
27	03541110-SA93AD1818

DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California 2 JOEL S. PRIMES Supervising Deputy Attorney General 3 DIANA WOODWARD HAGLE Deputy Attorney General 4 1515 K Street, Suite 511 P. O. Box 944255 Sacramento, California 94244-2550 5 Telephone: (916) 324-5330 Attorneys for Complainant 7 8 BEFORE THE BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 AC-90-12 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:) NO. 11 RONALD LEE CHAPPELL STIPULATION, 12 DECISION AND ORDER 36734 Avenue 12, Suite 2 13 Madera, California 93638 14 Certificate No. 35198 RN (Certified Public Accountant) 15 Respondent. 16 Respondent RONALD LEE CHAPPELL (hereinafter 17 "respondent"), individually and through his attorney, 18 Katherine Hart, Esquire, and complainant Carol Sigman, Executive 19 Officer, Board of Accountancy, Department of Consumer Affairs, 20 through her counsel, Deputy Attorney General Diana Woodward 21 Hagle, hereby stipulate that the following matters are true: 22 Respondent is a licensee of the Board of 23 Accountancy (hereinafter "Board") and has been duly served with 24 the Accusation on file and pending in Case No. AC-90-12, and 25 accompanying documents. A copy of the Accusation is attached 26 hereto as an Exhibit and is incorporated by reference as though 27

set forth at length herein. Respondent is subject to the jurisdiction of the Board in regard to the matters alleged in the Accusation.

1.9

- 2. Respondent has retained Katherine Hart, Esquire, as his attorney of record in this matter, and he and his legal counsel have fully discussed the nature of the charges alleged in the Accusation and the content and effect of this Stipulation.
- 3. Respondent withdraws the Notice of Defense previously filed in this matter, and agrees to be bound by the terms of this Stipulation, and of the Decision and Order, and freely and voluntarily waives any rights he may have in this proceeding to a hearing on the charges and allegations contained in the Accusation, to reconsideration, to appeal, to judicial review, and to all other rights which he may have under the California Administrative Procedure Act and the laws and regulations of the State of California.
- 4. Respondent admits the truth of each and every factual allegation contained in Accusation No. AC-90-12 and further admits that cause exists thereby to impose discipline on his Public Accountant Certificate No. 35198 RN for violations of Business and Professions Code section $5100(f)^{1/2}$ (unprofessional conduct), as follows:

In 1984, respondent was sole "managing partner" of a general partnership called "Chappell Gospel Records 1984", which had its principal office at 3097 Willow Avenue, Suite 21-

^{1.} Hereinafter, unless otherwise indicated, all section references are to the California Business and Professions Code.

A, Clovis, CA 93612. Similar partnerships were formed by respondent in 1983 and 1985.

The partnership was to engage in the business of leasing the rights to gospel music from a company called "Encore Leasing Corporation" and in turn producing and marketing records. Respondent received "10% of the lease income", which "salary" did not include "Income Tax preparation services, bookkeeping services and such other duties performed by the accounting office."

The partnership was designed to maximize tax benefits. The Internal Revenue Service, however, has labelled these tax shelters abusive.

Respondent violated section 5100(f) in that in or about 1984, he concurrently engaged in the practice of public accounting and in the business of offering and selling interests in a general partnership called "Chappell Gospel Records 1984", which impaired his independence, objectivity, or created a conflict of interest in rendering professional services, a violation of Title 16, California Code of Regulations, section 57.

Respondent violated section 5100(f) in that in or about 1984, he accepted commissions arising from the sale to one or more clients of interests in a general partnership called "Chappell Gospel Records 1984", a violation of Title 16, California Code of Regulations, section 56.

5. The foregoing admissions are made only for the purpose of this proceeding and any subsequent proceedings between

respondent and the State of California and any of its agencies, and any other government agency charged with the responsibility of licensing accountants; the admissions may not be used for any other purpose. In the event this Stipulation is not adopted by the Board, the admissions made herein shall be null and void, and may not be used by the parties or by any other person for any purpose.

6. This Stipulation shall be subject to the acceptance of the Board of Accountancy. If the Board fails to accept this Stipulation, it shall be of no force or effect for either party, and the matter will be regularly set for hearing.

WHEREFORE, it is stipulated that the Board of Accountancy may issue the following Decision and Order:

DECISION AND ORDER

- 1. Public Accountant Certificate No. 35198 RN, issued to Ronald Lee Chappell, is hereby revoked. However, revocation is stayed and respondent is placed on probation for three (3) years from the effective date of this Decision and Order, on the following terms and conditions:
- A. OBEY ALL LAWS Respondent shall obey all federal, California, other U.S. states and local laws, including those rules relating to the practice of public accountancy in California.
- B. <u>SUBMIT QUARTERLY WRITTEN REPORTS</u> Respondent shall submit quarterly written reports to the Board on a form provided by the Board.

27 | / / /

б

б

- D. MAKE APPEARANCES AND REPORTS Respondent shall make personal appearances and report to the Administrative Committee at the Board's notification, provided such notification is accomplished in a timely manner.
- E. <u>COMPLY WITH PROBATION PROGRAM</u> Respondent shall cooperate fully with the Board of Accountancy, and any of its agents or employees in their supervision and investigation of his compliance with the terms and conditions of this probation, including the Board's Probation Surveillance Compliance Program.
- F. REIMBURSE INVESTIGATION AND PROSECUTION

 COSTS Respondent shall reimburse the Board of Accountancy for investigation and prosecution costs in the amount of Two Thousand Six Hundred and Forty Dollars (\$2,640), such reimbursement to be received by the Board no earlier than August 1, 1992, and no later than August 15, 1992.
- G. TOLLING OF PROBATIONARY PERIOD In the event respondent should leave California to reside or practice outside this state, respondent must notify the Board in writing of the dates of departure and return. Periods of residency or practice outside the state shall not apply to reduction of the probationary period.
- H. PERMIT PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE REVIEW Respondent shall be subject to, and shall permit, a general
 review of the respondent's professional practice. Such review
 shall be conducted by representatives of the Board whenever

designated by the Administrative Committee, provided notification of such review is accomplished in a timely manner.

- Respondent shall take and pass a Board approved ethics examination on or before December 31, 1992. Alternatively, and if approved by the Board, respondent may take and pass a course on independence on or before December 31, 1992.
- J. <u>PERIOD OF ACTUAL SUSPENSION</u> Respondent's certificate shall be suspended for a period of thirty (30) days during which time he shall engage in no activities for which certification as a Certified Public Accountant or Public Accountant is required.
- 2. If respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order which was stayed. If an Accusation or a Petition to Revoke Probation is filed against respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- 21 / / /

1.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 22 / / /
- 23 | / / /
- 24 / / /
- 25 | / / /
- 26 | / / /
- 27 | / / /

1	3. Upon successful completion of probation,
2	respondent's Public Accountant Certificate No. 35198 RN will be
3	fully restored.
4	DATED: 3.11.42
5 6	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California JOEL S. PRIMES Supervising Deputy Attorney General
7	DIANA WOODWARD HAGLE Deputy Attorney General
8	Quina Hoodward Hagle
9	DIANA WOODWARD HAGLE Deputy Attorney General
11	Attorneys for Complainant
12	
13	I hereby state that I have read this Stipulation and
14	Decision and Order in its entirety, that my attorney of record
15	has fully explained the legal significance and consequences
16	thereof, that I fully understand all of, and agree to, said
17	Stipulation, Decision and Order, and in witness thereof I affix
18	my signature.
19	DATED: 3/5/92
20	hand I (INA)
21	RONALD LEE CHAPPELL Respondent
22	
24	DATED:
25	May 3'92
26	KATHERINE HART, ESQUIRE
27	Attorney for Respondent Ronald Lee Chappell

ORDER The above Stipulation has been adopted and shall become the Decision of the Board of Accountancy of the State of California effective ______, 1992. IT IS SO ORDERED this 2nd day of June ____, 1992. BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY

SA90AD0637 2/24/92

JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP, Attorney General 1 of the State of California 2 JOEL S. PRIMES Supervising Deputy Attorney General 3 DIANA WOODWARD HAGLE Deputy Attorney General 4 1515 K Street, Suite 511 P.O. Box 944255 5 Sacramento, California 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-5330 6 Attorneys for Complainant 7 8 BEFORE THE BOARD OF BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 In the Matter of the Accusation AC-90-12 No. 11 Against: ACCUSATION 12 RONALD LEE CHAPPELL 36734 Avenue 12, Suite 2 13 Madera, CA 93638 14 Certificate No. 35198 RN (Certified Public Accountant) 15 Respondent. 16 17 CAROL SIGMANN, for causes of discipline, alleges: 18 Complainant, CAROL SIGMANN, makes and files this 19 Accusation in her official capacity as Executive Officer, Board 20 of Accountancy, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of 21 California, and not otherwise. 22 On June 11, 1982, the Board of Accountancy 23 (hereinafter referred to as the "Board") issued Certified Public 24 Accountant Certificate No. 35198 RN to Ronald Lee Chappell 25 (hereinafter referred to as "respondent"). The certificate was 26 27 111

in full force and effect at all times pertinent herein and has been renewed through February 28, 1991.

- 3. The Board may suspend or revoke the certificate to practice public accountancy of any certificate holder who has been guilty of unprofessional conduct. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code¹/section 5100, subdivision (f), unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the willful violation of any regulation promulgated by the Board.
- 4. In 1984, respondent was sole "managing partner" of a general partnership called "Chappell Gospel Records 1984", which had its principal office at 3097 Willow Avenue, Suite 21-A, Clovis, CA 93612. Similar partnerships were formed by respondent in 1983 and 1985.

The partnership was to engage in the business of leasing the rights to gospel music from a company called "Encore Leasing Corporation" and in turn producing and marketing records. Respondent received "10% of the lease income", which "salary" did not include "Income Tax preparation services, bookkeeping services and such other duties performed by the accounting office."

The partnership was designed to maximize tax benefits. The Internal Revenue Service, however, has labelled these tax shelters abusive.

24 | / / /

25 | / / /

^{1.} Hereinafter, all section references are to the California Business and Professions Code.

5. Respondent has subjected his certificate to discipline under section 5100, subdivision (f), in that in or about 1984, he concurrently engaged in the practice of public accounting and in the business of offering and selling interests in a general partnership called "Chappell Gospel Records 1984", which impaired his independence, objectivity, or created a conflict of interest in rendering professional services, a violation of Title 16, California Code of Regulations, section 57.

6. Respondent has subjected his certificate to discipline under section 5100, subdivision (f), in that in or about 1984 he accepted commissions arising from the sale to one or more clients of interests in a general partnership called "Chappell Gospel Records 1984", a violation of Title 16, California Code of Regulations, section 56.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be held on the charges and that the Board of Accountancy make its order:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Certificate No. 35198 RN (Certified Public Accountant) issued to Ronald Lee Chappell; and
- 2. Taking such other and further action as may be deemed proper and appropriate.

DATED:

CAROL SIGMANN

Executive Officer ()
Board of Accountancy

Department of Consumer Affairs